Chitinase and cellulase activity from *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains
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Abstract

The present study aimed to analyze the production of chitinase and cellulase enzymes by strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxic to *Spodoptera frugiperda* and *Antho- nomus grandis* larvae. In order to evaluate the relationship between cellular growth and the chitinase and cellulase production, *in vitro* assays were carried through with bacteria cultures grown for 16h, 24h, 48h and 72h. Chitinase and cellulase activity was determined by a colorimetric method. The amount of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) or its equivalent was measured by development of color in acid medium. All strains presented enzymatic production after 16h of cellular growth until 72h. However, a Kruskal-Wallis test detected no significant differences among the chitinase and cellulase activity during the cellular growth. According to these results, was not possible to associate chitinase and cellulose activity with the different level of toxicity of *Bt* strains against *S. frugiperda* and *A. grandis* larvae.
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1 Introduction

Several organisms produce a wide variety of hydrolytic enzymes active against different substrate, such as chitin and cellulose. Chitinases could be produced by plants, insects and microorganisms as *Bacillus licheniformis* and *Bacillus Pakistani* (GOODAY, 1995). In bacteria, chitinases could be used in nutrition and parasitism whereas in fungi and vertebrates they are also involved in morphogenesis (PATIL et al., 2000). Different chitinases secreted in culture medium by *Bacillus circulans* showed strong affinity to insoluble chitin and presented a C-terminal chitin-binding domain and the N-terminal large domain containing catalytic site (WATANABE, 1993). Enzymatic hydrolysis of chitin to free N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) is conducted by a chitinolytic system synergistic and consecutive (DESHPNADE, 1986; SHAIKH et al., 1993).

Some bacteria, additionally, produce cellulases. The widely accepted mechanism for this enzyme hydrolysis involves synergistic actions by endoglucanase, exoglucanase or cellobiohydrolase and β-glucosidase (KNOWLES et al., 1987; WOOD; GARCIA-CAMPAYO, 1990; HENRISSAT, 1994; TEERI, 1997, LYND et al., 2002; ZHANG; LYND, 2004). During cellulose hydrolysis, the substrate characteristics vary, including changes in the cellulose chain end number resulting from generation by endoglucanases and consumption by exoglucanases (KLEMANLEYER et al., 1992, 1994, 1996; KONGRUANG et al., 2004). Also could be observed changes in cellulose accessibility resulting from substrate consumption and cellulose fragmentation (BANKA et al., 1998; BOISSET et al., 2000; WANG et al., 2003).

Among bacteria, *Bacillus* species produce a variety of extracellular polysaccharide hydrolysing enzymes (PRIEST, 1977). Cellulases produced by *Bacillus* strains were isolated and purified showing 100% homology with endoglucanases from *Bacillus subtilis* belonging to glycoside hydrolase family five (MAWADZA et al., 2000). In addition, some entomopathogenic bacteria have been used as an alternative to insect control (MONNERAT; BRAVO, 2000). *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) is a gram-positive soil bacteria, during sporulation form a parasporal crystal containing insecticidal proteins called Cry proteins or δ-endotoxins (MAAGD et al., 2003). These insecticidal crystal proteins ingested by the insect are submitted to site-specific proteolysis to generate active fragments that bind to the receptors in
the midgut epithelium and form ion channels, inducing osmotic lysis of the epithelium that consequently kills the larvae (LORENCE et al., 1995). The midgut lumen is separated from the epithelium by a protective structure consisting of chitin and proteins, called peritrophic membrane (TERRA, 2001). It is believed that chitinases disrupt the integrity of these membranes, facilitating the contact between the activated toxins Cry and receptors in the midgut epithelium (REGEV et al., 1996). Thus, a synergistic action between Cry toxins and chitinases has been demonstrated to occur during co-application of insecticidal protein containing spore suspension and chitinase (SMIRNOFF, 1977).

Here, we report the chitinase and cellulase activity from \textit{B. thuringiensis} strains effective toxic to the fall armyworm \textit{Spodoptera frugiperda} and cotton bowl weevil, \textit{Anthonomus grandis} (MARTINS et al., 2007; MONNERAT et al., 2007). These insects are pest of economic importance for basic grain production in the Americas (ASHLEY et al., 1989; PORTILLO et al., 1991).

The aim of this study was to analyze the production of chitinase and cellulase enzymes by strains of \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis} toxic to \textit{Spodoptera frugiperda} and \textit{Anthonomus grandis} larvae.

\section{Materials and methods}

\textbf{Bacterial strains}

Strains from \textit{B. thuringiensis} denominated S601, S1806, S906, S907, S908, and \textit{B. thuringiensis tenebrionis} (Btt), toxic against \textit{A. grandis} larvae (MARTINS et al., 2007) and the strains S550, S845, S1905 and \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki} (Btk), actives against \textit{S. frugiperda} larvae (MONNERAT et al., 2007) were obtained from collection of Entomopathogenic \textit{Bacillus spp.} Bank, of Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, except to \textit{Btk} and \textit{Btt} strains, that were obtained from the collection of \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis} and \textit{Bacillus sphaericus} at the Institut Pasteur, Paris.

\textbf{Chitinase enzymatic assays}

The assays were done at Laboratory of Entomopathogenic Bacteria – Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, using bacterial cultures to compare the chitinase production at various stages of cell growth (16, 24, 48 and 72 h). The
strains active against *A. grandis* and the strains active against *S. frugiperda* were grown in NYSM medium (YOUSTEN, 1984) without addition of colloidal chitin at 28 °C and 200 rpm in a rotating shaker. Chitinase activity was determined by a colorimetric method, conducted according to Reissig et al. (1955). For each test, 300 µL sample of the different bacterial cultures were reacted with 500 µL of 0.5% colloidal chitin in 50 mM acetate buffer (pH 5.0). A blank was prepared without chitin and the assay was performed at 37 °C. The amount of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) or its equivalent was measured by development of color in acid medium using DNS (dinitrosalicylic acid). Absorbance was determined at 550 nm and one unit activity (UA) was defined as the amount of enzyme necessary for produce an absorbance of 0.100. (HOFTE et al., 1987). The assays were repeated three time and the results were compared by ANOVA through Sigma stat program (KUO et al., 1992).

**Cellulase enzymatic assays**

The assays were carry out at Laboratory of Entomopathogenic Bacteria – Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, using bacterial cultures to compare the cellulase production at various stages of cell growth (16, 24, 48 and 72 h). The strains active against *A. grandis* and the strains active against *S. frugiperda* were also used, as in the chitinase assays. This assay was based on the determination of endoglucanases, exoglucanases and β-D-glucosidases, all of which hydrolyze cellulose synergically. The reaction contained 250 µL culture cells, pure cellulosic substrate (Whatman No. 1 filter paper 1 x 4 cm) and 500 µL of 50 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 4.5. Also, the blank was prepared by reacting 500 µL of sodium citrate buffer with 250 µL of bacterial culture, without cellulosic substrate. After incubation at 50°C for 60 minutes, the reaction was stopped by addition of 1,5 mL of dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS). The tubes were placed in a boiling-water bath for 5 min. Absorbance was determined at 550 nm and one unit activity (UA) was defined as the amount of enzyme necessary for produce an absorbance of 0.100 (HOFTE et al., 1987). The assays were repeated three time and the results were compared by ANOVA through Sigma stat program (KUO et al., 1992).
3 Results and discussion

The chitinase activity at different growth time was observed for all strains tested against *A. grandis* (Figure 1) and *S. frugiperda* (Figure 2) since 16 h until 72 h. This result is according to other authors, who determined chitinase activity in different *Bt* strains (SAMPSON; GOODWAY, 1998; THAMTHIANKUL et al., 2001; SIRICHOTPAKORN et al., 2001).

Figure 1 – Chitinase activity of different *Bt* strains toxic to *A. grandis* larvae between 16 h and 72 h growth. A: Strain S906, B: Strain S907, C: strain S908, D: S601, E: strain S1806, F: strain Btt.
Figure 2 – Chitinase activity of different Bt strains toxic to *S. frugiperda* larvae between 16 h and 72 h growth. A: Strain S550, B: Strain S845, C: strain S1905, D: Btk.

It is believed that chitinases disrupt the integrity of the peritrophic membranes in midgut lumen of several larvae insects, facilitating the contact between the activated toxins Cry and receptors in the midgut epithelium (REGEV et al., 1996). Thus, a synergistic action between Cry toxins and chitinases has been demonstrated to occur during co-application of insecticidal protein containing spore suspension and chitinase (SMIRNOFF, 1977). A Kruskal-Wallis test detected no significant differences among these chitinase activities enzymatic values from all strains tested (nonparametric analogous of SNK test, *P*=0.012), which were not statistically different from each other.

All strains toxic to *A. grandis* (Figure 3) and *S. frugiperda* (Figure 4) showed cellulase activity between 16 h and 72 h of cellular growth. Also was possible to observe some variation in cellulase activity between the different *Bt* strains analyzed, however, a Kruskal-Wallis test no detected significant differences among the cellulase activity enzymatic values from all strains tested (nonparametric analogous of...
SNK test, \( P=0.004 \), which were not statistically different from each other. On the other hand, we observed the cellulose activity until 72 h of growth, when the majority of cell is in spore form.

Figure 3 – Cellulase activity of different Bt strains toxic to A. grandis larvae between 16 h and 72 h growth. A: Strain S906, B: Strain S907, C: strain S908, D: S601, E: strain S1806, F: strain Btt.
Atividade quitinolítica e celulolítica de estirpes de *Bacillus thuringiensis*

**Resumo**

O presente estudo buscou analisar a produção das enzimas quitinase e celulase produzidas por estirpes de *Bacillus thuringiensis* tóxicas a larvas de *Spodoptera frugiperda* e *Anthonomus grandis*. Para avaliar a relação do crescimento celular e a produção de quitinase e celulase foram feitos ensaios *in vitro* com culturas de bactérias crescidas por 16h, 24h, 48h e 72h. A atividade quitinolítica e celulolítica foi determinada por método colorimétrico. A quantidade de N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) ou seu equivalente foi medido pelo desenvolvimento de coloração em meio ácido. Todas as linhagens apresentaram produção de enzimas após 16h de crescimento celular até 72h. O teste de Kruskal-Wallis não detectou diferenças significativas entre as atividades quitinolítica e celulolítica durante o crescimento celular.

**Palavras-chave:** *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Quitinase. Celulase. Proteínas Cry.
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